## INDEX

Of

## WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
YAMAGUCHI, Juichi	18796
Direct by Mr. OHARA	18796
MORNING RECESS	18810
Direct by Mr. OHARA (cont'd)	18811
NOON RECESS	18826
Direct by Mr. OHARA (cont'd)	18827
Cross by Mr. Tavenner	18846
AFTERNOON RECESS	18848
Cross by Mr. Tavenner (cont'd)	18849

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

		Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
341	2382		Telegram from the Japanese Foreign Minister to the Japanese Minister to Germany, Japan's Reply Re- leasing the Mukden Peninsula, dated 5 May 1895	•	18786

Thursday, 20 March, 1947 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 6 7 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 at 0930. 9 10 Appearances: 11 For the Tribunal, same as before with the 12 exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JU-AO MEI, Hember from 13 the Republic of China, now sitting. 14 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 15 For the Defense Section, same as before. 16 17 (English to Japanese and Japanese to 18 English interpretation was made by the 19 Language Section, IMTFE.) 20 21 23 24

.

Duda & Whalen

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA and MATSUI, who are represented by counsel. We have a certificate from the prison surgeon, Sugamo, that MATSUI is too ill to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President, with the Tribunal's permission we present errata sheet for exhibit No. 2377, dated 17 March.

In view of our statement found on record page 18,464, we deem it unnecessary to read all the corrections into the transcript. Attention is called to the following:

Record page 18,450, line 20, substitute "teachers of military training" for "training teachers."

Record page 18,455, line 17, substitute "week" for "year." Line 18, insert "at least" before "one and."

Record page 18,459, line 5, delete "to the schools."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Major. Colonel Warren.

e n

MR. WARREN: We would like to make a reference 1 to exhibit 2257, which is defense document No. 163, which is a telegram under date of May 3, 1895, dispatched from the Japanese Minister in Russia to the Japanese Foreign Minister. It has been with the Tribunal, and shows that Russia didn't want Japan in Manchuria for fear that she might get Port Arthur. At this time document No. 341 will be offered as evidence. It is a telegram of May 5, 1895, from the Japanese Foreign Minister to the Japanese Minister to Germany, Japan's reply releasing the Mukden Peninsula.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 341 will receive exhibit No. 2382.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2382, and was received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN: (Reading) "Defense Document No. 341. (The year 1895) Kyoto, May 5, 1895.

Telegram. To: Minister AOKI, Germany. Minister SONE, France. From: Minister of Foreign Affairs, MUTSU.

"Your Excellencies are kindly requested to translate the following memorandum into German/French and to submit it to the German/French governments:

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

23 24

25

"'In accordance with the friendly advice of the German-Russian and French-Russian and German-French governments, the Japanese Government agrees to renounce permanently the occupation of the Mukden Peninsula.'"

"In presenting this memorandum, Your Excellencies are also requested to express the following:

"'Because of the inadmissibility of the proposition of the Japanese Government on the part of Russian Government, and because of our earnest desire to terminate the present situation, we consider it to be the best policy to follow the first advice of the three nations without awaiting a reply from the German/French Governments to the memorandum which we previously delivered.'"

"For your Excellencies' reference, the following is also added:

"I. The Japanese Government retains the right to claim remuneration from China for the land which she has renounced.

"II. The Japanese Government retains the right to occupy the said peninsula for some time as a security measure for China's fulfillment of its treaty obligations to Japan."

Defense document No. 403 will be offered as evidence. It is a note of the Japanese Foreign

Minister under date of 1895 to Russia, Germany and
France.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, that document has not been served on us.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, that is right. I hadn't finished my remarks. We will offer it at a later date, but this i. where it fits. Because of mechanical difficulties we have been unable to reproduce it at this time.

Reference will be made to exhibit 2286 (defense document 216) which is a telegram from the Japanese Foreign Minister to the Minister of Russia under date of May 5, 1895, wherein Japan abandoned permanent occupation of the Mukden Peninsula.

pefense document No. 344 will be offered as evidence at this time. Although it was rejected once before, we offer it here again to show that the so-called Three Powers Intervention was motivated by territorial ambitions of the said Powers. The document is a telegram from the Japanese Charge d'Affairs in Germany to the Japanese Foreign Minister on December 5, 1923, disclosing the German policy in 1895. According to the transcript of February 26th of this Tribunal, the President remarked that "if Japan was obliged to disgorge any territory or acquisitions

14.

that can be proved from history. It will be judicially noticed, probably." The defense prays that the Tribunal would perhaps take judicial notice that Germany forced China to concede the Kiaochow Bay, France the Kwangchow Bay, Great Britain the port of Wei-hai-wei, and Russia the Liaotung Peninsula. Defense document No. 344 will show that the division of China into spheres of influence of these Powers endangered the very existence of Japan.

copy of that document. But we make the same objections that were made when it was originally introduced, and urge that the matters mentioned therein are irrelevent and immaterial to any of the issues involved here.

THE PRESIDENT: When a document is admitted on the usual terms that doesn't mean that you will be able to raise the same old objections over again.

MR. TAVENNER: I think your Honor misunderstood defense counsel's statement. This document was rejected when it was first tendered.

THE PRESIDENT: Like another colleague from whom I have just received a note, I find it difficult to hear what Colonel Warren is saying because of his throat affection.

MR. TAVENNER: Of course, we feel that there

2 3 4

is no reason now why the locument should be admitted when it was formerly rejected.

Mr. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, the document was rejected because at that time the Court did not think it material. But the observation was made, I believe, that its materiality might become more apparent at a later time and it could be re-offered, and we are offering it. We feel it material at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: That doesn't seem to be material. It is not in the same category as the other documents we have recently dealt with.

The objection is upheld. The document is again rejected.

MR. WARREN: We refer to exhibit 2288, defense document 162, which is a secret treaty of alliance between China and Russia, signed at St. Petersburg in May, 1896. In particular, reference will be made to Article III, whereby all Chinese ports were opened to Russian warships; and to Article IV, whereby the construction of a railroad towards Vladivostok was agreed upon; and to Article V, whereby Russia obtained the right to transport troops.

THE PRESIDENT: Am I right in saying that last night I read this in the Lytton Report?

MR. WARREN: Yes, your Honor; we are making reference to this only. This has already been introduced in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, why refer to it?

The Lytton Report covers it. There is no contest about the existence of the treaty and its provisions.

MR. WARREN: However, your Honor, in connection with that treaty, defense document No. 308 will be offered as evidence. This is an agreement concerning the construction and management of the Chinese Eastern Railway, signed at Berlin on August 27, 1876, between Chinese Minister to Russia and the Russo-Chinese Bank. This is the first and basic treaty for the construction of railways under Russian control in Manchuria. There

followed another treaty between Russia and China signed on July 6, 1898, referring to the construction of South Manchurian branch of the so-called Chinese Eastern Railway. Owing to mechanical difficulties, however, the processing of this cocument has not been completed yet and we therefore ask the Tribunal, if they think it relevant, to permit us to produce it at a later time. We desire to read only the preamble to this treaty.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, this document has been served on us, and I would prefer that the matter be disposed of at this time rather than for it to be continued.

I understand you are offering defense document 308?

MR. WARREN: That is right.

jections to the introduction of this document. One is that it is purely repetitive in character, having been dealt with at pages 32 and 33 of the Lytton Report. In addition, whatever rights Japan had in the railroad in that country were acquired as the result of the Portsmouth Treaty, and there would seem to be no necessity of returning to details occurring prior

1.4

to the Portsmouth Treaty on that point.

THE PRESIDENT: We have all the salient facts. Now if we are offered a mass of details it won't guide us.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, the following document which we had, 309, falls in the same category.

THE PRESIDENT: Why overburden the Court with unnecessary material? It is all in the record so far as it is usable.

MR. WARREN: We didn't feel it was, your Honor. However, if the Court feels it is and it can't be of assistance to the Court, of course we don't want to clutter up the record with it.

THE PRESIDENT: Our position is clear. We are not shutting out any evidence, but we are refusing to receive it twice.

The objection is upheld.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I should like to make this observation. Reference only has been made to these documents. They are not in evidence except by a very brief reference.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps in chambers the parties could agree upon admissions which would cut down this evidence very materially. We know that is confined to civil proceedings and other jurisdictions.

1.4 

But it isn't inconsistent with a fair trial, and it may lead to an expeditious one.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I am perfectly agreeable so far as I am concerned, and I will take it up with American counsel to attempt to enter into stipulations of fact regarding these, which I think is perfectly proper in cases of this kind.

MR. TAVENNER: The prosecution will be glad to cooperate to that end.

THE PRESIDENT: You have admitted and you have never contested all the evidence we have heard in the last day or two. As I am reminded, the prosecution put in these things.

MR. WARREN: At this time we offer defense document No. 219. This is the Convention for Lease of the Laiotung Peninsula between Russia and China, signed at Peking on March 27, 1898. This convention specifically points out that Russia obtained the lease for the purpose of constructing a naval base there and thus creating a great menace towards Japan.

We do not desire to read it.

MR TAVENNER: We desire to make the same objections to this document as to the former two. It is dealt with on page 33 of the Lytton Report, and the rights of Japan were acquired under the Portsmouth

-

ï

- 271.27

Treaty in regard to the matters mentioned in this earlier document.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, the mere fact that a accument is mentioned in the Lytton Report does not mean that it conveys the true picture of the instrument, itself. At the best, it is but an interpretation of the commission, itself.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there is no need to read it, in any event.

MR. WARREN: That is right, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, it is repetitive. We will reject it. Objection upheld.

MR. WARREN: At this time we should like to call as a witness, YAMAGUCHI, who is now in the witness room.

JUICHI YAMAGUCHI, called as a
witness on behalf of the defense, being
first duly sworn, testified through
Japanese interpreters as follows:
THE PRESIDENT: Will counsel announce
his name, please?
MR. OHARA: My name is OHARA, counselor
OHARA.
THE PRESIDENT; Counselor OHARA.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. OHARA:
Q Please state your name, Mr. Witness?
MR. TAVENNER: May we have a statement
as to the accused represented by this counsel?
THE PRESIDENT: I take it there will be
quite a number. This is a phase, of course.
You do not really want him to tell you all those
interested in the phase no.
MR. TAVENNER: I withdraw the question.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OHARA.
A My name is Juichi YAMAGUCHI.
MR. OHARA: If the Court please, transla-
tion of what I have said was not distinct.
My name is OHARA, representing OKAWA,
Shumie, the indicted.
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15

23

24

25

Q Mr. Witness, please state your address to the Court?

A My address is Chiba Prefecture, Chimata Gun, Iwatamura, Shimonitta.

- Q How old, what is your age?
- A I am fifty-six year.
- Q Please state the outline, briefly your life history.

In 1919, December, I moved to Manchuria. From February 20 to July 1932 I was an employee of the South Manchurian Railway, and my duties were principally in charge of maintenance. My principal duties were related to railroad facilities in the port. From 1929 to 1932 I was connected with the Youth Federation of Manchuria and its inauguration and management. From October 31 I participated in the movement for the establishment of Manchukuo. From March 1932 I was secretary of the Mukden-Haicheng Railway in charge of port facilities and also of the communications division. I was a member of the Reconstruction Committee of the Tsitsihar-Koshanchen Railway. The above positions that I held, I was ordered to assume these duties by the Manchurian authorities, principally to organize and unite

I was connected with the Manchurian Concordia Society, engaged in its organization and establishment. In September 1937 I was appointed an official of the Manchukuo Government. Until September 1938 I served as a consular of the Mukden Government and Vice-Governor of the Mutankiang province. Since September of 1938 I was released from official duties and engaged in agriculture near Mukden. At the same time I served as an inspector of the Manchurian Forestry Company. In May 1945 I returned to Japan on duties of the company, and while in Japan the war ended. Since then I returned to my native province in Japan and engaged in farming.

Q Mr. Witness, in 1919 when you moved to
Manchukuo and were employed by the South Manchurian
Company were you not engaged in the business of
the principal office of the said railway, main
office?

A Until 1926 I was principally engaged in work with port facilities.

Q What were the economic conditions in Manchuria when the witness moved to Manchukuo -- Manehuria?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From the time I entered the South Manchuria Company in 1919 I was stationed at 2 the port station in Dairen -- in 1920 -- cor-3 rection. At that time it was just following the first World War, and the various enterprises were expanding and were enjoying considerable prosperity in Manchuria, not only among the Japanese but among the Manchurians as well. What chiefly impressed me as a new arrival from Japan was the large number of ships which were running between North China and Manchuria, that is the ports of Chefoo and Tientsin in China. Each of these ships were loaded with immigrants, new arrivals, coming to Manchuria. In small boats some one to two thousand passengers were taken aboard. Not only were these passengers sprawled all over the deck; they even occupied the lifeboats on the deck. These passengers, all of them, were not only laborers, but a large number of them were men, women and children. They were all very poor. The passage, boat passage at that time amounted to about fifty cen per person from Chefoo. When these passengers arrived at Dairen they were without money, naturally.

"hen I asked them where they were bound for they usually replied that they were seeking their friends and relatives from their native province in China whom they had heard had prospered as farmers in the interior regions of Manchuria.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: What is the point of all this? MR. OHARA: The Chinese who were residing in Manchuria at the time of the establishment of Manchukuo moved to Manchuria in seeking the peace in the region.

THE PRESIDENT: That is set out as fully as you need it set out in the Lytton Report.

MR. OHARA: I am putting these questions to the witness in the belief that at the time of the establishment of the Manchukuo -- after the establishment of Manchukuo later economic conditions of the region became worse and the adverse influences were felt by both the Chinese and the Manchurians. However, originally that region was peaceful and in order to bring out that point I am putting these questions to the witness. Am I permitted to continue?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think you should be allowed to continue really. This is immaterial. That is my view.

Then, Mr. Witness, will you tell to the Court the conditions of the Chinese who immigrated into Manchuria as you have seen their conditions -- as you have seen in relation to your business.

THE PRESIDENT: The condition of the Chinese in Manchuria can be no justification for anything alleged against the accused here.

10

11

17

19

21

MR. OHARA: I understand the point fully, 1 your Honor; however, it is my belief it is quite material that the Chinese who immigrated into Man-3 churia in seeking peace suffered terribly at the hands of the Mukden military clique represented by the Chang family. THE PRESIDENT: How does that justify anything the Japanese did? The Japanese were never the guardians of the Chinese in China. MR. OHARA: It is my belief as defense counsel and it is my desire to prove that the Chinese who immigrated into Manchuria in seeking peace started an independent movement not instigated by the Japanese or not required by force by the Japanese but with the hope of establishing a peaceful 16 region against the oppressive rule of the Chang family. THE PRESIDENT: That is too remote. We will shorten the argument. That is the decision. Mr. Witness, are you aware that great changes 20 have occurred in Manchuria after 1920? THE PRESIDENT: That is too remote. Of course, quite a lot of what we read yesterday was too remote 23 actually, but the Lytton Report was tendered by the prosecution and the defense exercised their right to

read what they thought was relevant and material but

MR. OHARA: I understand the point fully, your Honor; however, it is my belief it is quite material that the Chinese who immigrated into Man-3 churia in seeking peace suffered terribly at the hands of the Mukden military clique represented by the Chang family. 6 THE PRESIDENT: How does that justify any-7 thing the Japanese did? The Japanese were never the 8 guardians of the Chinese in China. MR. OHARA: It is my belief as defense 10 counsel and it is my desire to prove that the Chinese 11 who immigrated into Manchuria in seeking peace started an independent movement not instigated by the Japanese or not required by force by the Japanese but with the hope of establishing a peaceful region against the oppressive rule of the Chang family. 17 THE PRESIDENT: That is too remote. We will shorten the argument. That is the decision. 18 19 Mr. Witness, are you aware that great changes have occurred in Manchuria after 1920? 21 THE PRESIDENT: That is too remote. Of course, quite a lot of what we read yesterday was too remote 23 actually, but the Lytton Report was tendered by the prosecution and the defense exercised their right to read what they thought was relevant and material but

this is another matter.

MR. OHARA: I am aware of that, if the Court please; however, there are facts which the Lytton Report did not cover and there are some facts concerning which the report caused misunderstanding on account of the shortage of materials the Commission treated.

THE PRESIDENT: If the Lytton Report is not up to standard this man cannot rectify the position. He is not qualified to do it. You are wasting our time with a lot of remote and immaterial evidence and we resent it.

MR. OHARA: I also am aware -- I also am afraid to waste the Court's time, your Monor; however, I fear that the Lytton Report did not cover -- that Lord Lytton did not know, could not learn the feeling of the Japanese and the Manchurians in the lowest social stratum. I have due respect toward the Lytton Report; however, I am aware also that the Report has been completed in the very short period. Because of that, with the desire to tender other materials, additional materials than the Lytton Report, with that desire I have started to question the present witness, so that not only the Lytton Report but also the other

materials should be assistance to the Court in making its decision. 

Goldberg & Kapleau

THE PRESIDENT: The evidence you are offering is of no value. It has no probative value. Can you understand that?

(Whereupon, Mr. OHARA addressed the interpreter in Japanese.)

THE PRESIDENT: There is no English translation of what he said.

THE INTERPRETER: The counsellor said -well, he asked whether what your Honor ruled concerned
the documents or the testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: I am telling you this evidence is of no assistance to us and we do not want you to continue to question the witness about these particular matters.

MR. OHARA: I understand, your Honor.

Q In 1929 -- did you know that in 1929 Chang Tso-lin compelled the Manchurian people to change their national flag?

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, I think the question is certainly irrelevant. It asked if the character of the money was changed in 1929 in Manchuria. It could have no relevancy to any issue involved here.

I was mistaken as to the question, as to what it related to, but its relation to the national

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 flag could have no more materiality than what I 2 had though he said in the beginning. 3 THE PRESIDENT: I did not hear the question. 4 I was reading a note from a colleague who thinks 5 that he ought to be allowed to continue his examina-6 tion, the examination I stopped, and that he should be allowed to do so because he is trying to show the Manchurians had a desire for independence. Well, I have to deal with this last question. Will the court reporter repeat it, please? (Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court reporter as follows:) "Q Did you know that in 1929 Chang Tso-lin compelled the Manchurian people to change their national flag?" THE PRESIDENT: What is the relevance of that? MR. OHAMA: Simultaneously changing the national flag the Mukden Government changed its pro-Japanese policy to anti-Japanese policy. As a result of this changed front the pressure has been brought to bear upon the Japanese residents and

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

frictions between different nationalities occurred.

BY MR. OHARA (Continued): Are you aware beginning 1929 -- around 1929 -revenue of the South Manchurian Railroad drawn from 3 cartage of goods have suddenly decreased? 4 5 MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor --A I know it very well. It was part of my 7 duties. 8 THE PRESIDENT: I understand that some 9 of my colleagues did not get the answer in English 10 or did not get the question in English. 11 (Whereupon, the official court 12 reporter read the last question.) 13 MR. TAVENNER: Doesn't your Honor think 14 that is entirely irrelevant and immaterial to any 15 issue involved in this case? 16 THE PRESIDENT: What have you to say? 17 MR. OHAMA: This swiden decrease in the 18 cargo carried by the Manchurian Railroad is a result 19 of the anti-Japanese policy adopted by the Chang 20 clique. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. 22

23

24

Q What treatments were accorded to Japanese and the Koreans residing outside the railroad zone at that time?

MR. TAVENNER: We object, your Honor, on the same ground, that the treatment couldn't have any material bearing on the issues involved in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: A nation may go to the rescue of its nationals in a foreign country. It certainly is not the orthodox thing to invade a foreign country immediately. You generally make representations to the foreign government. But the question is not what was happening to those particular nationalities but why the Japanese invaded Manchuria. This is the first time that particular cause about to be brought out has been assigned by the defense or anybody else.

The question is admitted. I understand some of my colleagues went to hear it. Objection overruled.

A At that time there were many Koreans engaged in agriculture outside of the railway zone, and there were many Japanese living outside of the zone who were engaged in forestry and mining.

However, before this there were some problems which were yet to be settled, such as, for instance, the question of land leases.

Prior to 1928, under the appointment of the Northeastern Government and welcomed by the native Chinese, considerable enterprises were jointly operated. However, in 1930 the Northeastern Government promulgated a domestic law to regain national land. The policy was that any persons welling land or houses to Japanese or Koreans or selling forest land to such nationals would be punished by death. Correction: leasing of land and houses and sales of forest land.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that red light meant to be there?

THE MONITOR: Yes, your Honor.

MR TAVENNER: If your Honor please, this is all matter which appears at greath length in the Lytton Report. This is merely a rehash of it and is repetitive, and we think is objectionable for that additional reason.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it is all in the Lytton Report.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

## YAMAGUCHI

(Whereupon, at 1047 a recess was taken until 100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

Morse & Wolf

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OHARA, yesterday
Mr. Warren told us that it was desired to supplement
the findings of the Lytton Report in certain particulars. Are you endeavoring to do that now?

MR. OHARA: It is my desire, your Honor -I am trying, your Honor, to avoid as much as possible
the repetition with the Lytton Report and trying to
render -- make some assistance -- render some assistance
by way of supplementary questions so that I can render
some assistance to the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: If you told me you were trying to clarify or supplement the Lytton Report my answer would be that you are only confirming it. Please point out in the Lytton Report the finding which this evidence offered this morning supplements or clarifies.

MR. OHARA: To speak the truth, I am just laying a foundation for my principal question to be put to the witness. If the Court should allow me some indulgence I will do so to the satisfaction of the Court. What I have asked questions to the witness involved -- includes partly what the Lytton Report set forth. However, I wanted to make clear under

such circumstances who made certain activities -- who made such activities under what conditions. THE MONITOR: Who did what under such circumstances. THE PRESIDENT: I think we might well ask this witness to return to the witness room while you tell us just what evidence he is going to give. MR. OHARA: If it please the Court, I should 9 like to ask questions -- but questions to the witness 10 to bring out what sort of work he did after the 11 initiation of the Mukden Incident. I will reframe 12 my questions and ask the witness questions which 13 might bring out what the Lytton Report failed to cover so that I may be able to render some assistance 15 to the Court. 16 THE PRESIDENT: As you do, point out the 17 provision or the statement in the Lytton Report 18 which needs supplementing or clarifying. Now, what 19 page of the Lytton Report? 20 MR. OHARA: I haven't the Lytton Report 21 before me and I should like to report to your Honor 22 during the afternoon session. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Let the witness stand down 24

until you can examine him efficiently.

MR. OHARA: I should like to gain the

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

testimony from the present witness as to the independence movement after September 18th. I should like to have the permission granted by the Court to ask other questions to this witness. These questions would supplement what the Lytton Report doesn't cover. BY MR. OHARA (Continued) Mr. Witness, where were you residing at the time of the outbreak of the Mukden Incident? A . I was at my home in Darien. Through what channel or by what method did you learn of the incident, Mukden Incident? When? A I became informed of the fact that the Incident had occurred on the morning of September 18 through the extras of the Darien newspapers. Q What step did you take, Mr. Witness, as a member of the South Manchuria Railway? I was greatly surprised to learn that the Incident had broken out by looking at the extra editions of the newspapers. I, therefore, immediately went to my office. I investigated the various railway telegraphic reports from the outlying district. Q What step did you take, Mr. Witness, on the 29th of the same month?

I was active following the incident as a

member of the East Asia Federation.

Q What is that association?

A I was mistaken; it isn't the East Asia
Federation. What I meant to say was the Youth
Federation of Manchuria. Previously I was requested
to report to Mukden by cable from officers of this
youth federation. I went to Mukden after receiving
the permission of the South Manchuria Railway Commany.

0.

21

What did you do after that?

A When I arrived in Mukden I was met at the station by Keiichi KOYAMA, who explained to me at the station the purport of what had occurred and the business to be accomplished.

Following the outbreak of the Incident, the

conditions of security and order in Mukden had completely
scome to a stop. The officials in Mukden were making all
attempts to restore peace and order within the city.
However, at the time, the Mukden-Haicheng Railway was
not in operation and they were encountering many difficulties
in order to supply food to the city. The Manchurian
authorities encountered numerous difficulties in
supplying food for the city due to the stoppage of the
Mukden-Haicheng Railway.

MR. OHARA: Your Honor, this point is set forth in the Lytton Report. However, it is described very briefly, so, by way of supplement, I should like to get the answers -- I should like to put the questions to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

A (Continuing) Then I was told that since I was connected with the railway enterprise what methods I would suggest, if I had any, to restore this railway into operation.

What railroad do you mean? Is that railroad 2 an important line? This railway is the route for the supply of food 3 into Mukden. It was very vital that the operation be continued without any interruption. I replied that 6 since this railway was originally jointly operated 7 with the Chinese, the critical situation which was 8 existing should not be -- attempts should not be made to solve this critical situation only through the efforts of the South Manchurian Railway or of the municipal 11 government. This railroad was a question of dispute between China and Japan, therefore, I said that the critical situation existing should not be solved by the South Manchurian Railway alone, or by the Mukden Municipal 15 Government. This railway was operated as a corporation 16 because one of the directors of the railway had fled when 17 the Incident had arisen, that is, when the stoppage had 18 arisen. The company had, of course, many stockholders and a large number of employees. It also employed a number of railway guards .--MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, there is 23 no issue involved --THE INTERPRETER: The railway company had many 25

stockholders and a large number of employees. It also

employed a number of railway guards, a fact which was peculiar to the conditions in Manchuria. MR. TAVENNER: There is no issue involved 3 in anything the witness has testified to for quite some 5 time. These details are immaterial and we think an 6 objection should be made to them. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OHARA. 7 MR. OHARA: It is my intention to let this 9 witness elucidate on how the Manchurian Independence 10 Movement has developed through what has happened to this 11 particular railroad. It is my belief as witness proceeds 12 to testify this point will be made clear. THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled. Proceed 13 14 to ask him questions. We may have to adopt entirely new procedure 15 16 here, one that is not adopted even in civil proceedings 17 in courts, but which is confined to civil proceedings 18 in chambers: Trial on affidavit which will be served 19 in advance. MR. OHARA: If your Honor please, we have taken 20 the affidavit of this witness. However, the said affidavit included a great deal of opinion by the witness. After spending quite a long time in readjusting his affidavit I have come to the conclusion it was better to 25 direct examine the witness in the court,

THE PRESIDENT: We have been giving you the right to give evidence by affidavit. We may have to compel you to give evidence that way; that is the point. 

Greenberg & Eder

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Mr. Witness, will you continue your enswer? I offered the opinion, therefore, that the three groups, that is, the stockholders, employees, and the railway guards, should jointly run and operate the railway autonomously. Then I accompanied KOYAMA, Keiichi to the offices of the municipal government. The mayor at that time was Colonel DCHIHARA. I stated my views to the mayor. Then I proceeded to the headquarters of the Kwantung Army and there met Colonel ITAGAKI, who was then the senior staff officer. I stressed the point that the restoration of the railway should be accomplished not by the South Manchuria Railway, but by the -- I emphasized that neither Manchuria or China -- Japan should interfere in the attempts to restore the railway, but that it should be -- the work should be done autonomously.

Colonel ITAGAKI said that he had no objections whatever to an autonomous operation of the railway by the Manchurians and I received his approval -- consent to my view. Therefore, through such procedures my opinion came to be adopted by Mayor DOHIHARA. Mayor DOHIHARA therefore entrusted this task to a committee for the maintenance of peace and order. It seemed that Mayor DOHIHARA entrusted

the task to a committee for the restoration of peace and order.

I was introduced by Colonel DOHIHARA to two members of the committee, Chao Shin Po and Ting Chin Shin. Because of the fact that both of these Chinese persons were fluent speaking, understood Japanese fluently, I explained my views to them in detail.

The summoning of representatives of the stockholders and notification to the members -- to the employees, were entrusted to these two persons. And on the second of October a committee was formed for the preservation of order on the Mukden Haicheng Railway.

At this time I was asked by Ting Chin Shin to become his secretary in view of the fact that I was the first person who had made any expressions of opinions and also because of the fact that I was an expert on railways. From such relations I was able to know the plans for the restoration of the railway in question. Therefore, first of all, in the name of Ting Chin Shin, as chairman of this committee, I informed all employees of the railway that a railway is a public enterprise and that a stoppage of its operations should not be allowed

.

because it would have unfavorable effects on society in general.

THE PRESIDENT: We must place a limit on all this discursive matter. If we have to resort to compulsory affidavits it means this, that we will have to decide among ourselves, without hearing argument, whether evidence should be admitted or rejected. Counsel would not like that, but what other course is open to us in view of what has happened this morning?

MR. OHARA: It is customary for the Japanese witnesses to give long drawn out answers. If your Honor should give direction to the witnesses to make their answers brief that will be of great assistance.

THE PRESIDENT: They will have to change that custom.

Q Please give quickly and a brief answer to that question just now put.

A Very well, I shall just explain in outline. Within five days all employees registered their approval and consent for the plan and the stockholders also participated.

THE PRESIDENT: Just consider the multiplicity of charges and issues in this case, and then consider the time we are wasting on this type of thing.

Surely Japanese counsel can appreciate that.

MR. OHARA: I will change my question.

Q Mr. Witness, did you associate with one Mr. Yuan Chen-to at that time?

A I learned of this person, Yuan Chen-to, from a friend of mine while I was hospitalized. I understand he was the chief -- the head of a hospital in Kirin, and a friend of mine, ISHIKAWA, told me that this person was a chief of a hospital in Kirin and had been very concerned about the establishment of Manchukuo. Correction: I did not know Yuan Chen-to previously, but while in a hospital a member of my same company, ISHIKAWA, told me that he was the chief of a hospital in Kirin who was quite concerned over the outbreak of the incident and expressed a desire to participate in the movement for the establishment of Manchukuo.

25

we did not know concretely.

What contribution did he make to the move-1 ment? 2 I introduced him to KANAI, Shoji who was an 3 adviser to the Provincial Government of Mukden. As 4 chief of the Secretariat of the Provincial Government. he assisted Tsang Shib-i in making plans for the 7 independence movement. He was attacked by guerrillas in mufti, and bombs were thrown into his home; but, without changing his determination, he continued his 10 work. 11 Did he ever tell the witness why he partici-12 pated in this movement? 13 Since then I became quite familiar with this 14 person and heard many of his views. To release the 15 thirty million peoples of Manchuria from the oppres-16 sive rule of the military chieftains and, in order to 17 establish a democratic nation, it was very necessary 18 at this time to create an independent nation. He 19 always -- he repeatedly stated these views. 20 Did he ever tell you why it was necessary to 21 save the thirty millions -- thirty million peoples 22 of Manchuria? 23 Naturally, he informed us of details which

If it is at all possible, will you, Mr.

Witness, narrate why Mr. Yuan Chen-to initiated -- why he came to be interested in this independence movement?

A He said that originally Manchuria was a country which had limitless natural resources. Because of this fact he said that, if peace could be maintained and industries begun, Manchuria could do well to take after the example of the United States as an industrial nation. But the military chiefs who ruled the Northeastern regime felt that, with the small number of thirty millions, they would desire to continue a struggle with China lasting for a length of years. Therefore, in order to raise funds for their military campaigns they overtaxed the people and exploited them; and, in order to gain manpower for their army, they forcefully drafted the people, resulting in no freedom among the people.

In accordance with the policy advocated by the civilian group to restore land and to bring stability to the people, and since the military regime of the Northeastern Government had fallen, the people should, under the leadership of pioneers, seek the establishment of a democratic country and, therefore, to escape from the evils of the former regime. And he always said that, regardless of what

names he was called, whether he was accused of being a traitor, he would continue his work because of this belief, to save the thirty millions of Manchuria. Q Before the outbreak of the Mukden Incident was there that civil faction the witness has just

A Yes.

mentioned?

What kind of faction is it?

This faction was organized in 1926 under Wang Yung-chieng and was the so-called civilian faction in contrast to the military faction.

Mr. Witness, can you enumerate the names of the persons who belonged to the civil faction?

I am able to name those with whom I had association.

Please do so.

Yes. For instance, Yu Chung-han. A

What kind of position did he occupy at that time?

He was at one time a custodian for Chang Tso-lin. When I first met this person, he was the general manager of the steel mines at Anshan -- iron mines at Anshan.

What position did he assume later?

In spite of the fact that he was a sick

6

7

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

person, he assumed the task of guiding the movement 1 for autonomy following the outbreak of the independ-2 ence movement. THE MONITOR: Mr. President, we are asking the Chinese person's character in order to translate 5 it into English, sir. The next person was Yu Cheng-yuan, his son. 7 THE PRESIDENT: Is there any limit to this 9 litany? 10 MR. OHARA: It was my intention, your 11 Honor, to have the witness name persons of more 12 important calibre. It was my belief, your Honor, 13 that that would elucidate the foundation of the 14 independence movement. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Your attitude, Mr. Tavenner, 16 appears to be one of despair. Obviously, this mater-17 ial has no probative value and hasn't had for the 18 last half hour. 19 We will recess until half-past one. 20 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 21 taken.) 22

23

24

Whalen & Duda

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

JUICHI YAMAGUCHI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. OHARA (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, before the recess you were referring to the civil faction. About when was this faction formed, and who were its leaders?

A The civil faction was not exactly an organized party. It was organized against the military government by organizing the civil political leaders.

Q Have you ever been informed by somebody as to the platform of this civil faction?

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, I think the question as to whether he had been informed by someone not; named as to the platform of a political party could not have any bearing and could not be material.

THE PRESIDENT: He should name and describe his informant. He may do so.

MR. TAVENNER: And we desire the objection to go further, to go also to the subject matter of the inquiry.

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, we will hear him on the societies and their objectives and their operations.

Objection overruled.

Q Will you proceed, Mr. Witness?

A As I mentioned before, I was associated with Yu Chung-han, Yu Chih-shan and Han Yun-chieh, as well as Chao Hsin-po.

THE MONITOR: These are all members of the so-called civilian faction or civil faction.

A (Continuing) These people that I just mentioned always told me that they advocated that warfare be stopped, that both China and Japan institute a rational cooperation between the two nations, that they would seek to develop the resources of Manchuria and that all peoples residing therein would be enabled to enjoy the prosperity.

THE MONITOR: Slight Correction in regard to Japan and China: Both with Japan and China they, the Manchurians, should maintain equally the cooperative relations.

(Continuing) I wish to add that as to their political desires, they advocated what we would call 2 democracy. 3 Who among the leaders of the civil faction was considered the highest at that time? The leader was "Vang Yung-Chiang. 6 Mr. Witness, were you ever informed of 7 Mr. Wang's life history? In Manchuria he was known as a very influential 9 political leader. 10 11 What activities did Mr. Wang perform? 12 In 1920, Mr. Wang was a custodian of the 13 Chang Tso-lin Government. Later he served as police 14 superintendent and as head of the Finance Bureau. 15 As I recall, at the time that he retired from activity 16 in 1929, he was acting governor of the province --17 correction: In 1928, when he retired from activity. 18 The people of Manchuria looked up to Mr. Wang 19 as a leader, as a kingly political leader. 20 THE MONITOR: Slight correction: He was 21 looked up to as the modern Wangtao political leader. 22 Chang Tso-lin regarded Wang very highly 23 because of his ability in financial affairs, enabling 24 Chang Tso-lin to maintain his military forces.

Up to 1928 I understand Mr. Wang was a civil

official. What kind of activity was he in after 1928?

A Wang Yung-chiang, after that time, urged
Chang Tso-lin to stop the war and to adopt a policy
of maintaining the borders of the nation and to bring
stability to the people. However, his suggestions
were not adopted by Chang Tso-lin; therefore, he stated
that he could not participate any longer in political
affairs. He issued a very important statement and
then retired to his home in Chinchou.

Q Mr. Witness, how did you come to know about this statement by Mr. Wang?

A This statement was well known in political circles at that time, and I came to know of it by documents and by talks through his -- Mr. Wang's -- son, whom I knew very well.

Q Now, Mr. Witness, have you learned about the
interpretation of this socalled "conserve the border
and bring stability to the people" as quoted in the
statement?
THE MONITOR: "Safeguard the border and
bring stability to the peoples."
Q Yes. From whom did you hear about this?
A I saw it in documents which were officially
announced and revealed to the public.
Q Have you beer heard of the meaning signi-
ficance of this term "safeguard the border and bring
stability to the people" from any members of this
socalled civil faction?
A Yes. I heard it on several occasions from
the people that I mentioned previously.
Q Do you know anything about Mr. Han Yun-chieh
who is a member of this so-called civil faction?
A Yes, I am informed very well.
Q What kind of activity was he in, or what did
he accomplish in his career?
A In 1932 he served as the governor of the
Province of Heilungkiang.
THE MONITOR: Correction: Acting governor of
Heilungkiang Province.
A (Continuing) At that time I was stationed in

N C

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tsitsihar as a member of the committee for the relief of flood sufferers. THE MONITOR: Of the Concordia Society. A (Continuing) In view of the nature of my work I always cooperated with Mr. Han. Since then I have been a friend of his until the present time. Did Mr. Han Yun-thieh have any connection with Ma Chan-shan? I heard both directly and indirectly from other people that Ma Chan-shan was urged to join the movement for -- join the Manchukuo Government from Han Yun-chieh. THE MONITOR: Slight modification: I heard directly from Mr. Han himself, and also indirectly from other people that he was instrumental in bringing about a general march to join in Manchukuo, and thereby stop the warfare. (Continuing) In thic connection he devoted brave efforts, If time permits I would like to explain this example. THE MONITOR: Brave effort even at the risk of his life.

Q Please narrate it.

A I will state it briefly. Ma Chan-shan was

in the battle near Ta shing, was defending Tsitsihar, but was forced to retire towards Hailun. At that time Ma Chan-shan and Chang Chin-hui were advocated as the provincial governor of Heilungkiang Province.

THE MONITOR: And in the meantime Mr. Han took over the job as acting governor.

A (Continuing) In the meantime a cavalry regiment under Wu Sung-lin, who was one of the sub-ordinates of Ma Chan-shan, knowing of the lack of Japanese troops defending Tsitsihar, planned to recapture that city, and had already begun their southward movements. Correction: Cavalry brigade.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: Knowing of the lack of strength on the part of the Japanese forces there.

A (Continuing) Learning of this Han Yun-chieh escaped from Tsitsihar and proceeded to Hailun. And Mr. Han when in Hailun met Ma Chan-shan and told Ma Chan-shan that "You have said that it was your desire to save the people by waging war --- by stopping (ar, yet I understand that your troops have begun action in Tsitsihar." I asked him whether it was his orders to do so. And I told Ma Chan-shen -- he, Han, informed Ma Chan-shen of the crime of waging warfare.

THE MONITOR: At the end of the previous answer,

previous statement from the witness, "I told him" should be corrected to read "He told General Ma."

A (Continuing) Ma Chan-shen replied that he was not informed. Han received the assurance of Ma Chan-shan that Ma had no intention of waging warfare, that he had issued no orders to his troops to conduct warfare, and that he was not aware that action was taking place. If this was true he would put a stop to it immediately.

Han, hearing of this, was overjoyed, and left Ma Chan-shan's room to another room where he met a friend of his who was the district chief of Paichuan. This friend told Han that not to leave the room right away for he had heard while Han was in the general's room several young officers speaking of Han, accusing him of putting ideas into the head of their commander, and that they were determined to shoot him that night.

Spratt & Yelden

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A (Continuing): Han was informed by this friend that he had overheard that preparations had been made to station a machine gun unit in the open space near the station of Hailun with the intention of shooting and killing Han, and therefore urging him not to leave. Therefore, the district chief of Paichuan entered the room of Ma Chan-shan and explained the situation that he had overheard. General Ma was greatly angered upon hearing this. General Ma immediately summoned these officers who had been planning this shooting, and in addition to them assigned his own private staff officers to escort Han Yun-chieh to Harbin -- immediately General Ma summoned one of these officers who had been planning to shoot Han, and in addition to this officer attached one of his own private staff officers to escort Han to Harbin.

1000

19

20 21

22

24

25

THE MONITOR: "Trusted" instead of "private."

And Han further said that en route troops
with machine guns approached them but the officers
who accompanied him stopped them from doing anything.

Q Mr. Witness, do you know any other persons belonging to the civil faction who participated in the independence movement?

THE MONITOR: Are there many of them? You don't need to mention names.

A Near Mukden the persons who participated in the movement were practically all members of the so-called civil faction. I should like to add a few words. Civil factions were active mostly near Mukden. Some of the activity also took place in the province of Kirin also by the Tsuang-she tang Party and Manchurian banner troops. Correction. In addition, too, Mukden activities also took place in Kirin under the Tsuang-she-tang party, and also the movement was carried on by one of the native Manchurian tribes -- members of the so-called Manchurian tribe.

Q Were you ever informed of the independence movement participated in by the Manchurian tribe in Kirin?

A Because this native Manchurian group had participated in the movement together with the so-called Kirin group, I was informed of the matter.

THE MONITOR: Because I once worked with these members of the so-called Kirin faction in regard to the independence movement, I know of the situation.

Q What did these men belonging to the Kirin faction tell you concerning their desire -- aspirations?

A The opinions that I heard directly were

that they advocated the independence of Manchuria with Pu-Yi as the Emperor as a restoration of the old Chin Dynasty. At the time of the independence movement there existed a very delicate opposition of views, and the civil faction of Mukden advocated 5 the establishment of a democratic country or democratic state. And in Kirin and also in Mongolia

the civil faction were watching with keen interest

the movement to restore imperial rule.

THE MONITOR: The members of the civil faction in Mukden watched with keen interest the similar movement to restore the Chin Dynasty which was going on in Kirin and Mongolia. Once, at one time Yuan Chen-to told me about the existence of a secret society called the Mantsu Kongchinhui, which existed in Kirin province, and explained to me his statement.

THE MONITOR: He showed me what was supposed to be regulations or rules of that society and asked me if I also know of it -- if I knew of the secret society and its regulations.

What was written in the articles of the regulations? Please state them briefly.

The purport of the regulations was to restore the Chin Dynasty and at the same time to effect

3

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23 24

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

independence of Manchurian tribes and Mongolians from the Han race.

Q Was the document -- were the regulations voluminous?

A Because it was rules it was very brief.

As I recall it, it was composed of about twenty articles.

Q Do you remember where the headquarters of this faction was based and who are the members thereof?

THE MONITOR: No, who are the members of the cabinet -- who the cabinet officials were?

A At that time Yuan Chen-to explained that Hsi-hsia was the prime mover of the movement, although I didn't see the name list myself, but according to the explanation given to me by Mr. Yuan Mr. Hsi-hsia was the central figure of the movement.

Q Wasn't it forbidden at that time for anybody, any person like the present witness, to assist this independence movement with work in connection with the members of the faction?

A At that time I was a member of the South Manchurian Railway. The company had strict regulations prohibiting any temployee of the railway to interfere in the affoirs of the Manchurians -THE MONITOR: Prohibited its employees from

participating in the incident without specific orders from the company, and they were to be severely

punished if they violated the rule.

And the Kwantung Army had issued a proclamation under a military order prohibiting strictly any political activities by Japanese.

But this within rays
the military men are in
this rociety in advisory
capaciti

Concordian Society

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

Q It appears that the witness has established in 1932 the Concordia Society. Will you explain the circumstances of its establishment?

Five months after the incident, that is in March of 1932, a new state was established. Even among the persons who had participated in the movement for the establishment of the nation there were two groups such as the civilian faction of Mukden and the Kirin faction which advocated the restoration of the Chin Dynasty. We were greatly worried over the fact that problems would arise between the various races; for instance, that the Japanese and Koreans considering themselves natives of a victorious nation would act in such a way as to be oppressive to the native Manchurians. Under such conditions we came to the conclusion that unless the movement for independence among the peoples were united -- we came to the conclusion that the maintenance of a so-called democratic state was impossible unless we were able to bring together various races in perfect coordination and cooperation so as to conform to the spirit of the foundation of the state. Since October 1931 to the independence of Manchuria I discussed this matter with those persons with whom I worked together; and because so many of the people approved, it was unanimously

decided to form a Concordia Party.

THE MONITOR: Concordia Party of Manchuria--

as members of the committee for the establishment of this society, namely: Yuan chen to, Yu cheng-yuan, Mr. Wada Kei, YAMAGUCHI, Juichi, and OZAWA, Kaisaku. I recall that it was about the second of April, 1933, that the officers of this committee -- the former office was established at the former site of Northeastern Communication Commission located on the San Kei Road in Mukden, and an understanding was sought with the government in Shinking and also with the Kwantung Army. The Kwantung Army headquarters did not have any particularly deep views on the subject.

THE MONITOR: The Kwantung Army headquarters did not express any particular views on the problem.

But on the other hand the Shinking government had many complaints to make -- had different views on the subject. In other words, one of the troubles of China now is the existence of both the Kuomintang Party and the Chinese Communist Party. Therefore, they did not wish the establishment of any political party in Manchuria which would cause any trouble. These were their views opposing the subject. Therefore, we

1 explained in detail the differences in comparison with the Kuomintang Party and the Communist Party of China and sought their understanding. As a result it was decided that a strictly civilian party would be avoided and that the government would also cooperate and interest the party and make it into a united national party. Thus the understanding was made. Therefore, the name was changed from the Concordia Party to the Manchukuo Concordia Society with members of the government also participating. 11 Who opposed the creation of this Concordia Party and Communist Party of China?

Party for the reason that would be similar to Kuomintang

The prime movers of this movement were the Kirin group which advocated monarchial rule and Cheng Hsiao Hsu, who became prime minister.

Please briefly state the platform of the Concordia Society as a political party.

To complete the recovery of the people through adopting the Wangtao policy;

THE MONITOR: Slight modification: lish a nation based on the principle of cooperation among races based upon the Wangtao principle;

To promote civil government; to promote the welfare of the people and also to develop industries;

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

to destroy communism and eliminate monopolization by the capitalists; to seek amicable relations internationally through a policy of the open door -- through a policy of equal opportunity.

That is all. Witness, it appears you are one of the founders of the Youth League of Manchuria. Are you aware of the fact, Mr. Witness, that this federation or league has published a book entitled; "The Story of the Youth Federation"?

Yes, we published it.

MR. CHARA: I conclude my direct examination.

### YAMAGUCHI

Goldberg & Kapleau

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I be permitted to call as a witness Mr. KATAKURA? Excuse me; I mistook it.

THE PRESIDENT: Any cross-examination?

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, will you bear with me just a moment until we determine?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, the prosecution has decided not to cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Witness, the Court has a question or two for you. At page 97 of the Lytton Report this appears:

THE MONITOR: Mr. President, could you give us a moment to fetch the report for ourselves too?

THE PRESIDENT (reading): "It is clear that the independence movement, which had never been heard of in Manchuria before September 1931, was only made possible by the presence of the Japanese troops."

What do you say to that?

THE WITNESS: I believe that this is a difference of opinion.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, this appears at page 93 of the same report:

21

22

23 24

### YAMAGUCHI

"After the declaration of independence and the announcement of the plans for the new state, the Self-Government Guuiding Board took the leading part in organizing popular manifestations of support. It was instrumental in forming societies for the acceleration of the foundation of the new state. It instructed its branches to so everything possible to strengthen and hasten the independence movement. In consequence, the new acceleration societies sprang up rapidly."

Was the Concordia Society one of those acceleration societies?

THE WITNESS: No, that is not true.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, may I change my mind about cross-examination on several points?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is for you to decide, but I don't know that you will be able to help very much. You will get the kind of enswer the Court got, I suppose.

MR. TAVENNER: I believe I will ask only a few questions.

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

DV	ME.	TAVENNER:
DI	1/111	IAVEINER

Q Are you familiar with the book entitled "Manchurian Empire" published on account of the Ten-Year Jubilee by the state organization Kyo-Wa-Kai?

A I have not read it.

That was a jubilee of the organization of which you were at one time an active participant, was it not?

A I shall explain my connections with the Concordia Society since that time and seek your understanding of why I cannot answer -- why I am unable to answer your question.

Q What I propose to ask you does not relate to things that occurred after the severance of your connection with that group. I refer to prosecution document 2329 in evidence as exhibit 731 which sets forth the purpose of this organization. I am going to read this to you and ask you if this is a correct statement.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Tavenner, could you indicate what paragraph it is that you are going to read?

MR. TAVENNER: Page 2, paragraph 4.

THE MONITOR: Just a few moments, please.

à.

4 5

 All right, sir.

Continuing): "The Kyo-Wa-Kai, as is clear from) the above stated, has a special mission of great importance to spread the spirit and the ideology of the State not only among the entire population of Manchoukuo but throughout the world. For the purpose of attaining this great aim the Kyo-Wa-Kai must carry on a permanent ideological struggle for the embodiment of its ideal both inside and cutside the state. The Kyo-Wa-Kai is the ideological Centre of this struggle...."

Is that a correct statement of the aims and objects of that organization?

A The book that you referred to, when was it rublished and what kind of a book was it?

Regardless of when or where the book was published, is that a correct statement of the views of your society?

A I cannot very well agree with it.

Q Let me read you another paragraph. I will now read the second paragraph from the top of the same page, page two.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was

	taken until 1500, after which the proceedings
1	were resumed as follows:)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

	MARSHAL	OF T	HE C	OURT	The	e I	nter	national
Military	Tribunal	for	the	Far	East	1.5	now	resumed.
		CRO	SS-E	YA MTI	TATTO	t		

BY MR. TAVENNER: (Continued)

Q In answer to my last question I understood the witness to say that he did not agree in part with the statement that I read. Wherein do you state that is not a correct statement?

A Before replying to this question I should like to offer some explanation: --

Q Just a moment.

MR. TAVENNER: I believe the witness should be required to answer the question.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, there was a question on the translation of the witness' answer and I think that may be what the witness has to explain and I would like for him to be able to be allowed to explain.

- Q What did your explanation relate to?
- A What I wish to say refers to that point.
- Q Just a moment. I suggest that you answer the question rather than to make a speech preliminary to the answer.

A I was associated with the Concordia society from 1928 to 1934. Therefore, I am not informed as to the activities of the society since that date.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Excuse me, Mr. President, there was one place left out in the translation. He stated that --

THE PRESIDENT: It may look reasonable enough to you, but we must insist that this matter be rectified in the proper way.

MR. TAVENNER: Is there any addition by the Translation Pool to the answer?

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: From "I was associated with the Concordia Society from 1928 to 1934 when I resigned due to differences of opinion. Therefore, I am not informed of the activities of that Society since that date."

Q That is not an answer to my question, Mr. Witness, 16 I asked you if the statement I read constituted a correct statement of the aims and purposes of your organization at the time you were a member of it.

A (No response)

MR. TAVENNER: I didn't get any translation.

THE MONITOR: There has been no answer yet, sir.

A I understand that the question was whether I 23 agreed to the objects and purposes of the Kyo-Wa-Kai Society as you read it.

THE MONITOR: What that was to me was whether

1

2

3

8

7

10

13

19 20

12

13

14

23

24

the passage read to me was in conformity with the aims and purposes of the fociety.

That is correct.

As I mentioned previously, the aims of the 3 Concordia Society at the time it was established dealt mostly with domestic situation. In fact, there was no time to think of international affairs. Therefore, we had no ideas at all with regard to the international relations, but it seems that the prosecutor has requested me to answer -- questioned me regarding the international policy of the Organization as he read it.

THE INTERPRETER: Asking me whether that statement is correct or not.

(Continuing) The time element also -- It involves a lapse of 5 or 6 years and what occurred after 16 that -- since that time -- I do not know what policies 17 were adopted by the leaders of the Concordia Society.

THE MONITOR: Correction: I do not know why 18 the Concordia Society later came to adopt such a policy as read to me. It is difficult to answer immediately 21 upon being read just a portion of the question -- for me to answer whether it is good or bad, having only a portion of it read to me.

Was the accused ITAGAKI, renior staff Officer of the Kwantung army, a member of the committee for the

3

5

7

9

10 11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

organization of your fociety?

A Military officers did not participate in the committee for it's establishment.

Q Do you mean by that reply to state that ITAGAKI was not a member of the committee for the organization of your society?

A Yes.

Q I will read you the third paragraph from the beginning of page 1 of exhibit 731:

"In April, 1932 in Mukden a special committee for the foundation of the Kyo-Wa-Kai was formed. The Committee was composed of: Colonel ITAGAKI, Captain KATAKURA," and others named.

Do you still contend that Colonel ITAGAKI was not a member of the organization committee of that Society?

A Yes, I do.

1	Q Was the commanding general of the Kwantung
2	Army a member of the Kyo-Wa-Kai and was he concurrently
3	an advisor of that society?
4	A At the time of the establishment of the
5	Concordia Society The commander of the Kwantung Army
6	was an honorary advisor.
7	Q Did the Kwantung Army publish any documents
8	about the purposes or the essence of this society?
9	A At the time of its establishment no publication
10	were issued by the Kwantung Army but since then there
1.1	were some.
12	Q Who represented the Kwantung Army at the
13	conference of the society held in 1941?
14	THE PRESIDENT: 1941?
15	MR. TAVENNER: 1941. nerol of the Landing
16	THE PRESIDENT: He said he ceased to be a
17	member in 1934.
18	MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir. He probably may not
19	Cknow. He becker the comparder of the distitute Analy
20	MR. BROOKS: I would object to that, your
21	Honor, as being irrelevant and immaterial, outside the
22	scope of the direct examination.
23	THE PRESIDENT: The only objection really is
24	that he wasn't a member at the time, according to his
25	answer. He cannot be expected to know if he wasn't

25

a member, but I will allow you to put the question if 1 you press it. 2 MR. TAVENNER: I withdraw the question. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Does that exhibit 731 show 4 when that society was established? 5 MR. TAVENNER: It doesn't show the date. 6 Isn't it a fact that this organization was 7 directed and advised by the head of the Kwantung Army as a political organization subserviant to the Kwantung Army? 10 I shall reply. A 11 MR. TAVENNER: What was the answer? 12 THE MONITOR: "I shall reply," he said. 13 (Continuing) As I mentioned before, from 14 its establishment to 1934 it was strictly an independent 15 organization. Although the understanding of the 16 Kwentung Army was sought at times, it did not work 17 under its orders. 18 The witness KASAGJ, Ryomei testified at page 19 2791 of the record that the principal object of this 20 organization was to aid in developing and directing 21 the independence movement. Do you agree with that? 22 KASAGI, Ryomei was a public official. I was 23 a member of the committee for the establishment of the

society. I have already mentioned the objects of the

organization at that time. I believe I have explained it explicitly. Then do you deny the statement made by the 3 witness KASAGI as being a true statement? A I do not say that the statement is actually a lie but I believe that it is incomplete in may respects. Q This committee that was first formed consisted 8 of about 120 members, did it not? 9 A As I said before, I thought that was the number. 10 THE MONITOR: As I said before, the committee 11 12 members are only five. 13 MR. TAVENNER: I couldn't understand the English translation. Will you repeat it please? 14 15 THE MONITOR: As I said before, the number 16 of committee members was only five. 17 Q Mr. KASAGI in his testimony referred to the 18 fact that there were 120 members on the committee. Do 19 you know what committee that was? 20 A I do not know. 21 Q Now the departments of this society were 22 divided into eight different headings, were they not? 23 A Do you mean the organization of the conduct 24 of business? 25 Q I am speaking of the Self-Government Guiding

1 Board.

2

3

6

7

A The society, the Concordia Society and the Self Government Guiding Board are two entirely different organizations, although I had some part in the relation with the Self Government Guiding Board when it was being established, but since later on I had no part in this Board and, therefore, I had only indirect knowledge of it.

Q Was there any relation between the Self Government Guiding Board and the Concordia Society?

A The Self Governing Board was dissolved after the Concordia Society was formed; therefore, there were no connections.

THE MONITOR: Correction: With the organization or establishment of the Concordia Society, the Self Governing Guiding Board was dissolved and, therefore, there is no connection or relation between the two.

Q What was your connection with the Self Government Guiding Board which succeeded the Concordia Society?

A The Concordia Society was established after the Self Government Guidance Board was dissolved.

Q That was the date of the dissolution of the Self Government Guiding Board, then?

8

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The group, the body was established -- existed A until the establishment of the government, of the state. Therefore we took the view that actually it was dissolved with the formation of the state on the first of March. Q The first of March of what year? 1932. 

Eder & Greenberg

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And when was it organized?

A Do you mean the Self-Government Guiding Board?

Q Yes.

A As I recall it, it was in November of 1931.

Q I asked you a few moments ago what your connection was with that organization, the Self-Government Guiding Board. Will you tell me?

A I had no relations -- connections with the Self-Government Guidance Board.

Q You told us a few moments ago that you were connected with it to some extent. What did you mean by that?

A At the time the Self-Government Guidance Board was directed -- was being established, in its preparatory stage, several points occurred in relation to communications, and I was consulted on one or two occasions.

I shall continue: After the preparatory work had been completed, a committee for the establishment of this Self-Government Guidance Board was established. With that group I had no relation whatsoever.

THE PRESIDENT: What commander of the
Kwantung Army was the honorary adviser of the society?
THE WITNESS: Lieutenant General Shigeru

HONJO.

1 2

What other commanders of the Kwantung Army were advisers?

A I recall that, with each change of the commander-in-chief of the Kwantung Army, the new commander was nominated to be an honorary adviser. I should like to be permitted to add a few -- one or two points: General HONJO, when he was commander of the Kwantung Army, accepted the post as honorary adviser, but the next commander, General MUTO, did not accept.

With the exception of General MUTO, did all of the succeeding commanders of the Kwantung Army act as honorary advisers to this society?

A With respect to the commanders of the Kwantung Army following General MUTO, I was not in a position of responsibility of the Concordia Society. Therefore -- Rather, I was outside of the sphere of its activities. Therefore, I cannot say definitely. But I recall that the successive commanders did accept.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: I cannot give accurate answer; but, as I recall, most of the succeeding commanding officers did accept the post or position.

THE PRESIDENT: Did the witness say the Concordia Society came into existence after the dissolution of the Self-Government Guiding Board? It would have come into existence in 1932.

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: But did he say he was a member in 1928?

THE WITNESS: The Self-Government Guidance Board was dissolved in March, 1932, and the committee for establishment of Concordia Society was established in April, 1932; and on 25th of July, 1932 the ceremony for inauguration of the Concordia Society was held.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we understood you to say that you were a member of the Concordia Society from 1928 until 1932 -- 1934.

THE WITNESS: Do you mean to say from 1928 to 1934?

THE PRESIDENT: That is the impression we formed. Whether wrongly translated or whether we misunderstood what you said, I do not know.

MR. TAVENNER: I will try to clear it up, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q Over what period of time were you a member of the Concordia Society?

A From April, 1932 to September, 1934.

Now, what societies were you a member of prior to 1932 in Manchuria?

A From 1928 to 1932 I was connected with the Youths Federation of Manchuria.

THE MONITOR: The establishment and management of the Youth Federation of Manchuria.

Q Now, returning for a few minutes to the Concordia Society, are you familiar with the action of the Cabinet Council on March 1, 1932 with regard to the seizure of military power in Manchuria?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Tavenner, do you refer to the Japanese Cabinet Council, or what cabinet is it, sir?

MR. TAVENNER: Japanese Cabinet Council.

A With respect to the Japanese Government I am not informed.

I would like to ask you whether the work of the Concordia Society in Manchuria was performed under or in cooperation with this provision of the Cabinet Council meeting -- Japanese Council meeting of March 1 -- that is, March 1, 1932. I will read a

22

24

short paragraph, paragraph five in exhibit 222. The pool does not have this exhibit.

"Regarding our seizure of real military
power, we should try to establish accomplished status
according to the right of subjugating bandits and
the policy of protecting Empire subjects which were
recognized by the League of Nations." In other
words, was it the intent of your society?

A No.

"Regarding our grasp of real power on foreign and home affairs, we should let her appoint small number of Japanese as the officials or counsellors at first, which may be enlarged gradually later." Did that represent the action of the Japanese officials in Manchuria?

A I was unable to get the gist of that question. Will the language section read the question back?

(Whereupon, the question referred to was read by the official court reporter.)

A (Continuing) I have not heard of such an example -- such an order.

	O Do you mean to say that the direction of
1	the Cabinet Council was not carried out in those
2	respects?
3	A Yes, I believe so.
5	Q I refer now to exhibit No. 223, which is
6	the Cabinet Council meeting of 11 April 1938
7	1932, and I will ask you if these directions of
8	the Cabinet Council were carried out in Manchuria.
9	(Reading) The new State shall employ
10	authoritative advisors from our country and make
11	them the highest advisors in connection with
12	finance, economic and general political problems.
13	THE MONITOR: Will the court reporter read
14	that question back slowly, please?
15	(Whereupon, the last question
16	was read by the official court reporter.)
17	O The new State shall appoint competent
18	Japanese nationals to the leading posts in the Privy
19	Council, the central bank, and other organs of the
20	new State.
21	My question was whether or not those
22	directions of the Privy Council of the Cabinet
23	Council meeting of April 11, 1932 were carried out
24	in Manchuria.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I want

to object to this question because it assumes that this discussion before this council was put in the form of a directive, and there is no evidence before this Court other than the prosecutor's unsworn statement, that such were ever put in the form of a directive for action.

This was not the decision of the Cabinet as a whole, but a council in the Cabinet, as I understand it, and there is no evidence before this Court that the matter referred to here was utilized in this early period. I know there were discussions later in reference to the treaty.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: The document shows that it is the decision of a Cabinet conference of April 11, 1932.

THE PRESIDENT: On that basis the objection is overruled. You must answer. If there is no objection, this is outside the scope of the examination in chief. I don't know what he knows about Cabinet decisions.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, the objection could be made that the witness stated he was in Manchuria during this time, and I thought if the Court wanted to hear this I wouldn't raise the

objection -- to save time.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not within the scope of the examination in chief, if I understand the reason for calling him here, and his qualifications.

MR. TAVENNER: There was no mention of Cabinet Councils in his examination in chief.

THE PRESIDENT: No mention of Cabinets, that I recollect. He is here to depose about the formation of societies, as a railway official in Manchuria who was associated with their formation. Is that too narrow a view I am taking?

MR. TAVENNER: I think so, and I would like to state my reasons.

The witness has testified at length regarding autonomous movements in Manchuria. This evidence
shows that much of what was being testified to is
the result of action or is influenced by action of
the Cabinet conferences in Tokyo, that they do not
have the free character of autonomous movements but
they were influenced by the appointment of Japanese
personnel and by the seizure of military control.

THE PRESIDENT: But what knowledge has he manifested of Cabinet activities and decisions?

He may have, but I do not recollect any though.

MR. TAVENNER: It is true he has not men-

3

5

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

16

17 18

- 19

20

22

23 24

24 25 tioned Cabinet Council meetings, but in order to rebut the inference that he has attempted to draw from his testimony I think we are entitled to ask him these questions about the excuses.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

There is a more important matter. about to receive an application in Chambers for thirty witnesses, all Japanese living in Tokyo, who are to testify on this issue or phase. It is my intention, if I think it proper, to require the evidence to be given on affidavit and copies of the affidavit to be served on the Judges three days before the evidence is heard in Court. In other words, I intend to require evidence on affidavit. Fitherto it has been a matter of option. It is an immense departure -- a departure of vital importance. It may lead to us having to decide questions of admissibility without argument. It may, of course, be argued that that will be inconsistent with a fair trial, but if that argument succeeds we will . have to hear the objections in Court after argument. I should say, we will have to decide in Court after argument, in that event.

We will adjourn until half-past nine temprrow morning.

```
(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-
 1
          ment was taken until Friday, 21 March,
 2
          1947, at 0930.)
 3
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

.